(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3638 /2004

PETITIONER

Keshav Prasad Tiwari, aged about 42 years, son of Shri Chhedilal Tiwari, Occupation : Adhoc Assistant Teacher, Primary School Sagaunadeeh, South Pandariya presently discontinued village Kopra, Post Bahtarai, District. Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 3522/04
Presented by Shri P. Tiwari
dated 25/9/04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through : the Secretary, Department of Education, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (CG)

2. The Director, Lok Shikshan Sanchalnalay, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (CG)

3. The Collector, Bilaspur (CG)

4. The District Education Officer, Education Distt. Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

5. The District Education Officer, Education Distt. Kawardha (Chhattisgarh)

6. The Union of India, Ministry of Home Affairs (Reorganization Cell), Khan Market, New Delhi.

7. The State of Madhya Pradesh through : the Secretary, Department of Education, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh)

शपथ आयुक्त
एस. के. मुखर्जी
बिलासपुर (छ.ग.)

RECEIVED COPY
25/9/04
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

Today union of India is not abidable
25/9/04 Attested

(2) (4)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(43)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 3638/04 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक व आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

03-12-2004

Shri A.N. Bhakta, Counsel for the petitioner.

Shri U.N.S. Deo, Govt. Advocate for the State.

Shri R.S. Baghel, Addl. Standing Counsel for the Union of India/respondent No.6.

Application for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard on I.A.No.8342/2004 for deleting the name of respondent No.6/Union of India from the cause title.

Prayer is not opposed by the other side.

The application is allowed. Let the necessary correction be made in the cause title.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in similar matter bearing W.P. No. 3637/2004 (***Mahesh Ram Gandharv vs. The State of Chhattisgarh & Others***), orders have been passed. He prays that similar order may be passed in this case also.

This prayer is also not opposed by the other side.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the respondent No.2/Director, Lok Shikshan Sanchalnalay along with a copy of the petition and this order, within 15 days, and

(44)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 3638/04 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	on such representation being filed, the respondent No.2/Director, Lok Shikshan Sanchalnalay shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within three months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P. No. 4079/2004 also stands disposed of. Certified copy after necessary correction in the cause title. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

Gowri